

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/630,296	07/30/2003	John Graeme Pepin	EL0475 US CIP	9720		
23906	7590 02/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			SAVAGE,	SAVAGE, JASON L		
	TENT RECORDS CENTE	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CASTER PIKE		1775			
WILMING	TON, DE 19805		DATE MAII ED: 02/08/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ý

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/630,296	PEPIN, JOHN GRAEME
Examiner	Art Unit
Jason L. Savage	1775

•	Examination .	1	
	Jason L. Savage	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		~	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	but uniques to the date of filling a build	:ill mat be entered b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 7-75. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	JENNIFER M		
	JENNIFER M	MINER	
	PRIMARY EX	PERSONAL AND .	
	- ', ·		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/630,296

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

1

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a) and c) - Applicant had added new claims 14-19, drawn to different inventions which would be withdrawn by election by original presentation. The claims were also added and no corresponding finally rejected claims were canceled..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant reiterates the previous argument from the response filed 9-8-05 that the composition of Topfer would not meet the present claim limitations since the magnetic field used to magnetize the particles of Topfer has been applied in one particular direction/orientation to the particles. Applicant argues that in the present inveniton, the particles as printed can be isotropic in natture. However, as was set forth in the final rejection of 9-21-05, Tofper does not teach that the particles in the film forming composition (emphasis added) has been treated to orient the particles in any manner. As such, the film forming composition (emphasis added) of Topfer would meet the limitation of being as isotropic as the film forming composition (emphasis added) claimed by Applicant.